

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
FORT WORTH DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
<i>Plaintiff</i>)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 4:21-cr-00005-O-1
)	
THE BOEING COMPANY,)	
)	
<i>Defendant.</i>)	
_____)	

**NOTICE BY NAOISE CONNOLLY RYAN, ET AL. WITHDRAWING REQUEST FOR
EXPEDITED BRIEFING ON THEIR PENDING MOTION**

Naoise Connolly Ryan et al.¹ (the “victims’ families” or “families”), through undersigned counsel, file this notice regarding the status of the families’ earlier request for an expedited briefing schedule on the families’ pending motion requesting reconsideration of court-imposed conditions of release and appointment of a judicial monitor to supervise Boeing’s safety compliance (ECF No. 202) (the “monitor motion”).

As indicated in the monitor motion, the families and the parties (the Justice Department and Boeing) met and conferred today regarding whether to accelerate the ordinary fourteen-day briefing schedule on the motion. During the conference, the Justice Department indicated that it would likely be filing something with the Court during the week of July 1, which will provide the Court and the families with additional information that may inform the briefing and decision

¹ In addition to Ms. Ryan, the other victims’ family members filing this motion are Emily Chelangat Babu and Joshua Mwazo Babu, Catherine Berthet, Huguette Debets, Luca Dieci, Bayihe Demissie, Sri Hartati, Zipporah Kuria, Javier de Luis, Nadia Milleron and Michael Stumo, Chris Moore, Paul Njoroge, Yuke Meiske Pelealu, John Karanja Quindos, Guy Daud Iskandar Zen S., and others similarly situated.

regarding the monitor issue and may produce the possibility of a hearing before the Court (if, of course, the Court agreed) during the week of July 8. In light of that new information—and in light of the fact that the families’ monitor motion will be fully responded to by July 8—the families have decided to withdraw their request for expedited briefing on their monitor motion. The families will review the Department’s action next week—and the responses thereto by the Department and Boeing—in further deciding whether they will be filing a motion requesting the opportunity to file a reply brief in support of the monitor motion. Of course, the families continue to move for the immediate appointment of a monitor for the reasons explained in the pending motion (ECF No. 202).

Dated: June 24, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Darren P. Nicholson

Warren T. Burns
Texas Bar No. 24053119
Darren P. Nicholson
Texas Bar No. 24032789
Kyle K. Oxford
Texas Bar No. 24095806
BURNS CHAREST LLP
900 Jackson Street, Suite 500
Dallas, Texas 75202
Telephone: (469) 904-4550
wburns@burnscharest.com
dnicholson@burnscharest.com
koxford@burnscharest.com

/s/ Paul G. Cassell

Paul G. Cassell (Utah Bar No. 06078)
(Counsel of Record)
Utah Appellate Project
S.J. QUINNEY COLLEGE OF LAW
University of Utah
cassellp@law.utah.edu
(no institutional endorsement implied)

Tracy A. Brammeier
CLIFFORD LAW OFFICES PC
tab@cliffordlaw.com

Erin R. Applebaum
KREINDLER & KREINDLER LLP
eapplebaum@kreindler.com

Pablo Rojas
PODHURST ORSECK PA
projas@podhurst.com

Attorneys for Victims’ Families

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on June 26, 2024, the foregoing document was served on the parties to the proceedings via the Court's CM/ECF filing system.

/s/ Darren P. Nicholson

Darren P. Nicholson